

Antidepressants, metoprolol and the risk of bradycardia

Paul A. Kurdyak, Michael Manno, Tara Gomes, Muhammad M. Mamdani and David N. Juurlink

Ther Adv Psychopharmacol
 (2012) 2(2) 43–49
 DOI: 10.1177/
 2045125311433580
 © The Author(s), 2011.
 Reprints and permissions:
[http://www.sagepub.co.uk/
 journalsPermissions.nav](http://www.sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav)

Abstract: Case reports and pharmacologic theory suggest that some antidepressants can interfere with the hepatic metabolism of metoprolol by cytochrome P450 2D6 (CYP2D6), potentially increasing the risk of bradycardia. The objective of this study was to characterize the clinical consequences of this potential drug interaction at the population level. We conducted a population-based, nested case–control study of Ontario residents 66 years of age or older receiving metoprolol. Cases hospitalized for bradycardia were compared with matched controls (4:1) to explore the odds ratio for initiation of antidepressants that inhibit CYP2D6 (fluoxetine and paroxetine) and those that do not inhibit CYP2D6 (fluvoxamine, citalopram, venlafaxine, and sertraline) 30 days before hospitalization. From April 1997 to March 2009, we identified 332,254 older patients continuously receiving metoprolol, of whom 8232 (2.5%) were treated in hospital for bradycardia. The adjusted odds ratio for exposure to fluoxetine or paroxetine compared with other antidepressants 30 days prior to hospitalization for bradycardia was 0.76 (95% confidence interval 0.42–1.37). Among older patients receiving metoprolol, the initiation of antidepressants that inhibit CYP2D6 was not associated with a significant increase in the risk of bradycardia compared with antidepressants that do not inhibit CYP2D6.

Keywords: antidepressants, bradycardia, metoprolol

Introduction

Antidepressants are commonly prescribed for the treatment of depression and anxiety disorders. Since their introduction, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) such as fluoxetine, sertraline, and citalopram, along with other antidepressants, such as venlafaxine, have become very popular, with prescription sales doubling in the United States between 1996 and 2005 [Olfson and Marcus, 2009] and now far exceeding those of heterocyclic antidepressants [Mamdani *et al.* 2000]. Reasons for the increase include their relative safety in overdose compared with heterocyclic antidepressants and the perception of an improved safety profile. Although newer SSRIs may be better tolerated than the older heterocyclic antidepressants, they may still cause adverse effects in isolation [Murphy *et al.* 2008; Thomas and Ellingrod, 2009], and can interact with other medications by inhibiting various isoenzymes of the cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzyme system [Greenblatt *et al.* 1998; Kelly *et al.* 2010; Spina *et al.* 2003].

Depression is especially common in patients with cardiovascular disease [Schleifer *et al.* 1989], and metoprolol is commonly prescribed to patients with ischemic heart disease. Since the initial finding that depression is associated with increased mortality after acute myocardial infarction [Frasure-Smith *et al.* 1995], the association between depression and cardiovascular disease has also assumed a high profile [Bush *et al.* 2005], and has been the subject of a large, randomized controlled trial showing the safety of sertraline in patients with cardiovascular disease [Glassman *et al.* 2002]. Indeed, increased awareness of the implications of depression in cardiovascular illness has likely increased the rate of antidepressant prescriptions in patients with coronary disease.

Metoprolol toxicity manifests primarily as conduction disturbances, generally including bradycardia. Metoprolol is metabolized primarily by CYP2D6, a highly polymorphic enzyme inactive in roughly 7% of white people. People exhibiting

Correspondence to:
Paul A. Kurdyak, MD, PhD
 Centre for Addiction and
 Mental Health, 250 College
 Street, G63, Toronto, ON,
 Canada M5T 1R8
paul_kurdyak@camh.net

Michael Manno
 Institute for Clinical
 Evaluative Sciences,
 Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Tara Gomes, MHS
 Institute for Clinical
 Evaluative Sciences and
 Leslie Dan Faculty of
 Pharmacy, University of
 Toronto, Toronto, Ontario,
 Canada

**Muhammad M. Mamdani,
 MA, MPH**
 Institute for Clinical
 Evaluative Sciences, Li Ka
 Shing Knowledge Institute
 and Leslie Dan Faculty of
 Pharmacy, University of
 Toronto, Toronto, Ontario,
 Canada

David N. Juurlink, MD, PhD
 Institute for Clinical
 Evaluative Sciences and
 Department of Medicine,
 Sunnybrook Health
 Sciences Centre, Toronto,
 Ontario, Canada

this ‘poor metabolizer’ phenotype display more metoprolol-related adverse events [Wuttke *et al.* 2002]. This is relevant because fluoxetine and paroxetine are potent inhibitors of CYP2D6 [Alfaro *et al.* 2000; Lam *et al.* 2002]. Indeed, the initiation of paroxetine in patients receiving metoprolol could provoke metoprolol-related bradycardia, as has been described in case reports [Goryachkina *et al.* 2008; Onalan *et al.* 2008]. Metoprolol is frequently prescribed with fluoxetine or paroxetine [Molden *et al.* 2005] and *in vitro* evidence suggests that fluoxetine and paroxetine are more likely than other antidepressants to interact with metoprolol to cause bradycardia [Alfaro *et al.* 2000; Belpaire *et al.* 1998; Hemeryck *et al.* 2001; Walley *et al.* 1993; Yoon *et al.* 2000].

We speculated that, among older patients receiving metoprolol, the initiation of antidepressants that inhibit CYP2D6 would be associated with an increased risk of bradycardia-related hospital visits. To test this hypothesis, we conducted a nested case–control study examining the risk of bradycardia in older patients taking metoprolol who recently commenced an antidepressant.

Methods

Setting and design

We conducted a nested case–control analysis of multiple linked healthcare databases spanning 13 years (1 April 1997 to 31 March 2009) in Ontario, Canada. Ontario is Canada’s most populous province with a registered population of 13,069,200 in 2009, of whom 1,787,900 were 65 years of age or older. Ontario’s older residents have universal access to hospital care, physician services, and prescription drug coverage. This research was approved by the Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre Ethics Review Board.

Data sources

We identified prescriptions for metoprolol and antidepressants from the Ontario Drug Benefit Program, which records prescription medication dispensed to Ontario residents 65 years of age and older. Admissions for bradycardia were identified from the Canadian Institute for Health Information (CIHI) Discharge Abstract Database, which contains a detailed record of all hospital admissions in Ontario. Emergency department (ED) visits were obtained from the CIHI

National Ambulatory Care Reporting System, which contains detailed information for all ED visits in Ontario. The Ontario Registered Persons Database contains basic demographic information for each Ontario resident. These databases are routinely linked to study drug safety, including the clinical consequences of drug–drug interactions [Fischer *et al.* 2010; Juurlink *et al.* 2009; Kelly *et al.* 2010; Kurdyak *et al.* 2005]. All analyses were conducted anonymously using an encrypted version of the individual health card number.

Observation period

We studied older patients whose prescription records allowed us to define a period of continuous metoprolol use. For each patient, observation in the cohort began with the first metoprolol prescription following their 66th birthday. The observation period ended with a hospital visit for bradycardia, death, discontinuation of metoprolol, addition of another beta blocker, or the end of the study period, whichever came first. To ensure adherence to metoprolol, we employed an algorithm in which continuous metoprolol use was defined by refills of the drug at intervals not exceeding 1.5 times the days’ supply of the preceding prescription, as done previously [Fischer *et al.* 2010; Juurlink *et al.* 2009; Kelly *et al.* 2010; Kurdyak *et al.* 2005]. We did not study patients during their first year of eligibility for prescription drug coverage (age 65 years) to avoid incomplete medication records.

Identification of cases and controls

Within the cohort of patients receiving metoprolol, we defined case patients as those who had undergone a hospital visit for bradycardia. A hospital visit was defined as an ED visit with bradycardia as the main diagnosis or hospitalization with bradycardia as a preadmission diagnosis. We used the International Classification of Diseases, 9th revision (ICD-9) codes 426.0, 426.1 and 427.8, and 10th revision (ICD-10) codes R001, I440–I443. The date of hospital admission or ED visit, whichever occurred first, served as the index date for all analyses. Only the first hospital visit was considered for patients with more than one hospitalization or ED visit for bradycardia. We selected up to four controls for each case from the cohort of patients continuously receiving metoprolol, matched for age (within 1 year of birth date) and sex. The selected

controls were assigned the same index date as their matched cases.

Exposure to antidepressants

We grouped antidepressants into categories based on the predicted likelihood of interaction with metoprolol, based on CYP2D6 inhibition and *in vitro* literature on metoprolol interactions with antidepressants. Fluoxetine and paroxetine (strong CYP2D6 inhibitors) constituted one group, while fluvoxamine, citalopram, venlafaxine, and sertraline collectively constituted the reference group. In a secondary analysis, we excluded patients treated with sertraline because the reported effect of sertraline on CYP2D6 activity varies among publications [Alfaro *et al.* 2000; Sproule *et al.* 1997].

Any potential interaction is most likely to manifest shortly after the institution of SSRI therapy in patients otherwise stabilized on metoprolol. To restrict our analysis to new users of antidepressants, we required that cases and controls have exposure to only one antidepressant in the 30 days prior to the index date and no antidepressant in the preceding 6 months. By design, therefore, we defined exposure as new use of a single antidepressant within 30 days of the index date.

Statistical analysis

Baseline characteristics were calculated for case patients and their matched controls, and were compared using standardized differences. Standardized differences of <0.1 are not generally meaningful [Mamdani *et al.* 2005]. We used conditional logistic regression to estimate the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) for the association between bradycardia and new antidepressant exposure. In the primary analysis, non-CYP2D6-inhibiting antidepressants (fluvoxamine, citalopram, venlafaxine, and sertraline) comprised the reference group.

We used a multivariable conditional logistic regression model to adjust for potential confounders. Potential confounders included socioeconomic status (income quintiles) at cohort entry date, prior hospitalizations for bradycardia in the year prior to the index event, number of drugs prescribed in the past year [Schneeweiss *et al.* 2001], use of other CYP2D6-inhibiting drugs in the past 90 days, and use of negative chronotropic drugs (verapamil, diltiazem, and digoxin)

in the past 90 days. Although bupropion is also a CYP2D6-inhibiting antidepressant [Kotlyar *et al.* 2005], we included it as a covariate with other CYP2D6 inhibitors rather than as an exposure antidepressant *per se* because its use as an adjunct for smoking cessation may have introduced bias if patients treated with bupropion were systematically different from those prescribed other antidepressants. Finally, because sertraline exhibits some CYP2D6 inhibition, particularly at high doses [Sproule *et al.* 1997], we conducted a sensitivity analysis by removing sertraline exposure and repeating the multivariate analysis.

Results

We identified 332,254 people who were treated with metoprolol, with a total of 630,600 person-years of continuous metoprolol treatment. Of these, 53.2% were women. The mean age of entry into the cohort was 74.8 years (SD \pm 6.4) for men and 77.2 years (SD \pm 7.2) for women. Use of antidepressants was common; overall, 13,013 people (3.9%) had been prescribed either fluoxetine or paroxetine (CYP2D6-inhibiting antidepressants) and 33,897 (10.2%) had been prescribed the non-CYP2D6-inhibiting antidepressants (fluvoxamine, citalopram, venlafaxine, and sertraline) at some time during metoprolol therapy.

Within the cohort of older patients receiving metoprolol, we identified 8232 cases hospitalized with bradycardia. Of these, 99 were newly treated with a study antidepressant in the 30 days preceding the index date. Within this group, 23 (23.2%) had received either fluoxetine or paroxetine (strong inhibitors of CYP2D6) and 76 (76.8%) had received one of fluvoxamine, citalopram, venlafaxine, or sertraline (Table 1).

Table 2 outlines the univariate and multivariate analyses for the risk of bradycardia following exposure to CYP2D6-inhibiting antidepressants relative to noninhibiting antidepressants. The univariate OR estimate of relative risk of bradycardia was 0.78 (95% CI 0.47–1.31; $p = 0.35$) (Table 2). The adjusted relative risk estimate was similar (OR 0.76; 95% CI 0.42–1.37; $p = 0.37$). We found similar results in the sensitivity analysis excluding sertraline, with no increased risk of bradycardia evident following initiation of paroxetine or fluoxetine (OR 1.01; 95% CI 0.53–1.94; $p = 0.98$).

Table 1. Characteristics of cases and controls.

	Cases N = 99	Controls N = 394	Standardized difference
Prescribed CYP2D6-inhibiting antidepressants, N (%)	23 (23.2)	110 (27.9)	0.11
Prescribed non-CYP2D6-inhibiting antidepressants, N (%)	76 (76.8)	284 (72.1)	
Age at start of cohort, median (IQR)	79 (74–83)	81 (75–86)	0.01
Age at index date, median (IQR)	80 (75–84)	80 (75–84)	0.01
Sex, % women	69	69	0.01
Income quintile, N (%)			
1	17 (17.2)	92 (23.4)	0.15
2	18 (18.2)	80 (20.3)	0.05
3	30 (30.3)	73 (18.5)	0.29
4	14 (14.1)	74 (18.8)	0.12
5	20 (20.2)	75 (19.0)	0.03
Previous hospitalization for bradycardia, N (%)	11 (11.1)	8 (2.0)	0.48
Number of drugs prescribed in past year, mean (SD)	17.0 (6.1)	12.8 (6.2)	0.68
Exposure to CYP2D6-inhibiting drugs, N (%)	11 (11.1)	11 (2.8)	0.41
Exposure to cimetidine, N (%)*	≤5	≤5	–
Exposure to amiodarone, N (%)	8 (8.1)	6 (1.5)	0.4
Exposure to terbinafine, N (%)*	≤5	≤5	–
Exposure to quinidine, N (%)	0 (0)	0 (0)	–
Exposure to bupropion, N (%)*	≤5	0 (0)	–
Exposure to verapamil/diltiazem, N (%)	12 (12.1)	33 (8.4)	0.13
Exposure to verapamil, N (%)*	≤5	≤5	–
Exposure to diltiazem, N (%)	9 (9.1)	28 (7.1)	0.08
Exposure to digoxin, N (%)	30 (30.3)	47 (11.9)	0.52

*Variables with cell sizes ≤5 were not included because of the need to protect confidentiality of patients. CYP2D6, cytochrome P450 2D6; IQR, interquartile range; SD, standard deviation.

Discussion

Using population-based health services research methods, we found that in a large cohort of older patients receiving metoprolol, the addition of a CYP2D6-inhibiting antidepressant did not appreciably increase the risk of hospitalization for bradycardia compared with a non-CYP2D6-inhibiting antidepressant. To our knowledge, this is the first study using population health data to assess objective clinical consequences of the potential drug–drug interaction between metoprolol and antidepressants that inhibit CYP2D6. The absence of an elevated risk of bradycardia with fluoxetine and paroxetine is unexpected given the *in vitro* and human subject evidence that these antidepressants increase serum levels of metoprolol [Alfaro *et al.* 2000; Belpaire *et al.* 1998; Hemeryck *et al.* 2001; Walley *et al.* 1993; Yoon *et al.* 2000].

The importance of our findings is highlighted by the high frequency of concomitant treatment with SSRI antidepressants and metoprolol in our sample. Overall, 14% of patients used any antidepressant, and 3.9% were exposed to one that inhibits CYP2D6. Concern about adverse events from drug–drug interactions is appropriate given evidence of an interaction [Alfaro *et al.* 2000; Belpaire *et al.* 1998; Hemeryck *et al.* 2001; Walley *et al.* 1993; Yoon *et al.* 2000] and the likelihood for exposure. The high antidepressant prescription rate is similar to other studies describing increasing use of antidepressants [Olfson and Marcus, 2009; Raymond *et al.* 2007]. However, surveys of physicians who prescribe in a general psychiatry outpatient setting suggest that the likelihood of drug interactions is not a major factor in selection of an antidepressant [Zimmerman *et al.* 2004].

Table 2. Results from logistic regression of bradycardia outcome.*

Variable	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> value	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> value
CYP2D6-inhibiting antidepressants	0.78 (0.47–1.31)	0.35	0.76 (0.42–1.37)	0.37
Income quintile				
1			Reference	
2			1.08 (0.49–2.39)	0.85
3			2.03 (0.94–4.38)	0.07
4			1.06 (0.46–2.46)	0.9
5			1.25 (0.54–2.90)	0.61
Number of drugs prescribed			1.10 (1.06–1.15)	<0.001
Previous bradycardia hospitalizations			5.64 (1.87–17.02)	0.002
Exposure to CYP2D6-inhibiting drugs			3.08 (1.20–7.91)	0.02
Exposure to verapamil/diltiazem			1.54 (0.70–3.39)	0.29
Exposure to digoxin			2.44 (1.34–4.44)	0.004

CI, confidence interval; CYP2D6, cytochrome P450 2D6; OR, odds ratio.
 *The multivariate regression model did not include variables with fewer than five cases or controls (exposure to cimetidine, terbinafine, quinidine, bupropion, and verapamil).

Several limitations of our study merit emphasis. We used administrative data to identify exposures and outcomes and do not have access to serum drug levels, direct measures of heart rate, drug dose and other variable. This is important because an increased metoprolol level is a more proximate measure of the potential interaction between antidepressants and metoprolol. However, bradycardia is a clinically meaningful outcome measurable using administrative data. Some patients with bradycardia may not have sought medical attention or may have died in the prehospital setting. In addition, our results are derived from older patients; the generalizability of our findings to younger patients is unknown. However, most metoprolol users are likely to be represented by our population-based sample. We categorized antidepressants according to CYP2D6 inhibition based on *in vitro* evidence. Sertraline is not as strong a CYP2D6 inhibitor as fluoxetine or paroxetine. However, there is evidence that it is a weak inhibitor [Sproule *et al.* 1997]. A sensitivity analysis removing sertraline from the non-CYP2D6-inhibiting antidepressant category did not change the results. Finally, we do not have measures of genotype; ultra-rapid metabolizers for 2D6 who are on high doses of metoprolol may be a subcategory of patients at particularly high risk for bradycardia when administered with a CYP2D6-inhibiting antidepressant.

Some authors have discouraged the use of fluoxetine and paroxetine in patients already receiving metoprolol on the basis of *in vitro* evidence that serum metoprolol levels are strongly affected by CYP2D6 inhibition [Wuttke *et al.* 2002] and that fluoxetine and paroxetine can increase serum metoprolol levels leading to bradycardia [Alfaro *et al.* 2000; Belpaire *et al.* 1998; Hemeryck *et al.* 2001; Walley *et al.* 1993; Yoon *et al.* 2000]. In addition, case studies have demonstrated that administering paroxetine to people receiving metoprolol can result in bradycardia [Goryachkina *et al.* 2008; Onalan *et al.* 2008]. In our cohort study using a large population of older people, the addition of these antidepressants was not associated with an increased risk of bradycardia. Our results suggest that these antidepressants do not result in an increased risk of bradycardia in people who continuously receive metoprolol. Nonetheless, it may be prudent to avoid using CYP2D6-inhibiting antidepressants in people who receive metoprolol for two reasons. First, there is *in vivo* evidence of antidepressants that do not inhibit serum metoprolol levels in healthy volunteers [Preskorn *et al.* 2007], indicating that antidepressant alternatives to fluoxetine and paroxetine are safe to use. Second, there is considerable individual variability in CYP2D6 metabolism [Hemeryck *et al.* 2001] that may not be readily detected in a large, population-based

cohort. Patients who have multiple copies of CYP2D6 or who are ultra-rapid metabolizers (and who therefore may receive large doses of metoprolol) may still be at risk of bradycardia if antidepressants that inhibit CYP2D6 are added to existing drug regimens that include metoprolol.

Acknowledgements and Funding

This study was supported by a grant from the Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care (MOHLTC) Drug Innovation Fund and the Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences (ICES), a non-profit research institute sponsored by the Ontario MOHLTC. Dr Paul Kurdyak is supported by a Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) New Investigator Award. We thank Brogan Inc., Ottawa for use of their Drug Product and Therapeutic Class Database.

Conflict of Interest Statement

Muhammad M. Mamdani has carried out consultancy work for Hoffman LA Roche Advisory Boards, GSK, Pfizer, Novartis, Eli Lilly, Novo Nordisk, Astra Zeneca, and Bristol Myers Squid. The other authors have no conflict of interests to declare.

References

- Alfaro, C., Lam, Y., Simpson, J. and Ereshefsky, L. (2000) CYP2D6 inhibition by fluoxetine, paroxetine, sertraline, and venlafaxine in a crossover study: intraindividual variability and plasma concentration correlations. *J Clin Pharmacol* 40: 58–66.
- Belpaire, F., Wijnant, P., Temmerman, A., Rasmussen, B. and Brosen, K. (1998) The oxidative metabolism of metoprolol in human liver microsomes: inhibition by the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 54: 261–264.
- Bush, D., Ziegelstein, R., Patel, U., Thombs, B., Ford, D., Fauerbach, J. *et al.* (2005) *Post-myocardial Infarction Depression*. Vol AHRQ Publication No. 05-E018-2. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.
- Fischer, H.D., Juurlink, D.N., Mamdani, M.M., Kopp, A. and Laupacis, A. (2010) Hemorrhage during warfarin therapy associated with cotrimoxazole and other urinary tract anti-infective agents: a population-based study. *Arch Intern Med* 170: 617–621.
- Frasure-Smith, N., Lesperance, F. and Talajic, M. (1995) Depression and 18-month prognosis after myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 91: 999–1005.
- Glassman, A., O'Connor, C., Califf, R., Swedberg, K., Schwartz, P., Bigger, J.J. *et al.* (2002) Sertraline treatment of major depression in patients with acute MI or unstable angina. *JAMA* 288: 701–709.
- Goryachkina, K., Burbello, A., Boldueva, S., Babak, S., Bergman, U. and Bertilsson, L. (2008) CYP2D6 is a major determinant of metoprolol disposition and effects in hospitalized Russian patients treated for acute myocardial infarction. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 64: 1163–1173.
- Greenblatt, D., von Moltke, L., Harmatz, J. and Shader, R. (1998) Drug interactions with newer antidepressants: role of human cytochromes P450. *J Clin Psychiatry* 59(Suppl. 15): 19–27.
- Hemeryck, A., De Vriendt, C. and Belpaire, F. (2001) Metoprolol–paroxetine interaction in human liver microsomes: stereoselective aspects and prediction of the in vivo interaction. *Drug Metab Dispos* 29: 656–663.
- Juurlink, D.N., Gomes, T., Ko, D.T., Szmítko, P.E., Austin, P.C., Tu, J.V. *et al.* (2009) A population-based study of the drug interaction between proton pump inhibitors and clopidogrel. *CMAJ* 180: 713–718.
- Kelly, C.M., Juurlink, D.N., Gomes, T., Duong-Hua, M., Pritchard, K.I., Austin, P.C. *et al.* (2010) Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors and breast cancer mortality in women receiving tamoxifen: a population based cohort study. *BMJ* 340: c693.
- Kotlyar, M., Brauer, L.H., Tracy, T.S., Hatsukami, D.K., Harris, J., Bronars, C.A. *et al.* (2005) Inhibition of CYP2D6 activity by bupropion. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 25: 226–229.
- Kurdyak, P.A., Juurlink, D.N., Kopp, A., Herrmann, N. and Mamdani, M.M. (2005) Antidepressants, warfarin, and the risk of hemorrhage. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 25: 561–564.
- Lam, Y., Gaedigk, A., Ereshefsky, L., Alfaro, C. and Simpson, J. (2002) CYP2D6 inhibition by selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors: analysis of achievable steady-state plasma concentrations and the effect of ultrarapid metabolism at CYP2D6. *Pharmacotherapy* 22: 1001–1006.
- Mamdani, M., Parikh, S., Austin, P. and Upshur, R. (2000) Use of antidepressants among elderly subjects: trends and contributing factors. *Am J Psychiatry* 157: 360–367.
- Mamdani, M., Sykora, K., Li, P., Normand, S.L., Streiner, D.L., Austin, P.C. *et al.* (2005) Reader's guide to critical appraisal of cohort studies: 2. Assessing potential for confounding. *BMJ* 330: 960–962.
- Molden, E., Garcia, B., Braathen, P. and Eggen, A. (2005) Co-prescription of cytochrome P450 2D6/3A4 inhibitor-substrate pairs in clinical practice. A retrospective analysis of data from Norwegian primary pharmacies. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 61: 119–125.

- Murphy, T., Segarra, A., Storch, E. and Goodman, W. (2008) SSRI adverse events: how to monitor and manage. *Int Rev Psychiatry* 20: 203–208.
- Olfson, M. and Marcus, S. (2009) National patterns in antidepressant medication treatment. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 66: 848–856.
- Onalan, O., Cumurcu, B. and Bekar, L. (2008) Complete atrioventricular block associated with concomitant use of metoprolol and paroxetine. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings* 83: 595–599.
- Preskorn, S., Greenblatt, D., Flockhart, D., Luo, Y., Perloff, E., Harmatz, J. *et al.* (2007) Comparison of duloxetine, escitalopram, and sertraline effects on cytochrome P450 2D6 function in healthy volunteers. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 27: 28–34.
- Raymond, C., Morgan, S. and Caetano, P. (2007) Antidepressant utilization in British Columbia from 1996 to 2004: increasing prevalence but not incidence. *Psychiatr Serv* 58: 79–84.
- Schleifer, S., Macari-Hinson, M., Coyle, D., Slater, W., Kahn, M., Gorlin, R. *et al.* (1989) The nature and course of depression following myocardial infarction. *Arch Intern Med* 149: 1785–1789.
- Schneeweiss, S., Seeger, J., Maclure, M., Wang, P., Avorn, J. and Glynn, R. (2001) Performance of comorbidity scores to control for confounding in epidemiologic studies using claims data. *Am J Epidemiol* 154: 854–864.
- Spina, E., Scordo, M. and D'Arrigo, C. (2003) Metabolic drug interactions with new psychotropic agents. *Fundam Clin Pharmacol* 17: 517–538.
- Sproule, B.A., Otton, S.V., Cheung, S.W., Zhong, X.H., Romach, M.K. and Sellers, E.M. (1997) CYP2D6 inhibition in patients treated with sertraline. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 17: 102–106.
- Thomas, K. and Ellingrod, V. (2009) Pharmacogenetics of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors and associated adverse drug reactions. *Pharmacotherapy* 29: 822–831.
- Walley, T., Pirmohamed, M., Proudlove, C. and Maxwell, D. (1993) Interaction of metoprolol and fluoxetine. *Lancet* 341: 967–968.
- Wuttke, H., Rau, T., Heide, R., Bergmann, K., Bohm, M., Weil, J. *et al.* (2002) Increased frequency of cytochrome P450 2D6 poor metabolizers among patients with metoprolol-associated adverse effects. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 72: 429–437.
- Yoon, Y., Cha, I., Shon, J., Kim, K., Cha, Y., Jang, I. *et al.* (2000) Relationship of paroxetine disposition to metoprolol metabolic ratio and CYP2D6*10 genotype of Korean subjects. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 67: 567–576.
- Zimmerman, M., Posternak, M., Friedman, M., Attiullah, N., Baymiller, S., Boland, R. *et al.* (2004) Which factors influence psychiatrists' selection of antidepressants? *Am J Psychiatry* 161: 1285–1289.

Visit SAGE journals online
<http://tpp.sagepub.com>

 SAGE journals